ESTTA Tracking number:

ESTTA614227 07/08/2014

Filing date:

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE BEFORE THE TRADEMARK TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

Proceeding	91216571
Party	Defendant Benchmark Young Adult School, Inc.
Correspondence Address	DEBORAH A. GUBERNICK CALL & JENSEN 610 NEWPORT CENTER DR STE 700 NEWPORT BEACH, CA 92660-6498 dgubernick@calljensen.com;jbrownell@cal
Submission	Answer
Filer's Name	Deborah Gubernick, Esq.
Filer's e-mail	dgubernick@calljensen.com, jbrownell@calljensen.com
Signature	/dag/
Date	07/08/2014
Attachments	benchmark opposition.pdf(38822 bytes)

10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 A PROFESSIONAL CORPORATION CALL & JENSEN 22 23 24 25 26 27 28

IN THE UNITED STATES

PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE TRADEMARK TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

In the matter of:

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

LaunchWorks Life Services, LLC d/b/a

Benchmark Recovery Center f/k/a/ Mark

Houston Recovery Center,

Opposer,

v.

Benchmark Young Adult School, Inc. d/b/a

Benchmark Transitions,

Applicant.

Opposition No. 91216571

ANSWER TO NOTICE OF OPPOSITION

Applicant, Benchmark Young Adult School, Inc. d/b/a Benchmark Transitions ("Applicant"), for its Answer to Notice of Opposition (the "Opposition") of LaunchWorks Life Services, LLC d/b/a Benchmark Recovery Center f/k/a/ Mark Houston Recovery Center ("Opposer") states as follows:

Applicant denies the introductory paragraph preceding paragraph 1 of the Opposition as it fails to specifically state allegations therein. Opposer only admits that it is in fact the owner of the trademark at issue; the trademark records speak for themselves regarding the facts stated therein.

- 1. Applicant is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in Paragraph 1 of the Notice of Opposition and therefore denies same.
- 2. Applicant is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in Paragraph 2 of the Notice of Opposition and therefore denies same.

Ben06-12: 1348989.1:7-7-14

	1	3. Applicant denies the allegations in this paragraph to the extent it seeks a legal
	2	conclusion of "concurrent" use. Applicant admits that it has used its mark in nationally in commerce
	3	in connection with addiction-recovery related services. Applicant lacks sufficient information
	4	concerning Opposesr's use and on such grounds denies the remaining allegations in this paragraph.
	5	4. Applicant denies the allegations in Paragraph 4 of the Notice of Opposition.
	6	5. Applicant denies the allegations in Paragraph 5 of the Notice of Opposition.
	7	6. Applicant denies the allegations in Paragraph 6 of the Notice of Opposition.
	8	7. Applicant denies the allegations in Paragraph 7 of the Notice of Opposition.
	9	8. Applicant is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth
	10	of the allegations contained in Paragraph 8 of the Notice of Opposition and therefore denies same.
	11	9. Applicant denies the allegations in Paragraph 9 of the Notice of Opposition.
	12	10. Applicant denies the allegations in Paragraph 10 of the Notice of Opposition.
	13	
	14	AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSES
	15	Without admitting or acknowledging that Applicant bears any burden of proof as to any of
	16	them, Applicant asserts the following non-exclusive affirmative defenses.
	17	
	18	FIRST AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE
	19	(Priority)
	20	Opposer is precluded from bringing this Opposition based on Applicant's prior, superior rights
	21	by virtue of use in commerce.
EN	22	
ENS	23	SECOND AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE
& J	24	(Failure to State a Claim)
CALL & JENSEN A PROFESSIONAL CORPORATION	25	Opposer has failed to allege facts sufficient to state a claim upon which relief can be granted.
CA A PRO	26	
,	27	THIRD AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE
,	28	(Lack of Standing)

Ben06-12: 1348989:7-7-14

	1	Opposer has failed to allege grounds sufficient to establish its standing to maintain the
	2	opposition.
	3	FOURTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE
	4	(Disctinctiveness)
	5	The Opposition is barred because Applicant's mark has been used in commerce in connection
	6	with the relevant goods for years without ceasing, resulting in a distinctive mark and trademark rights
	7	associated therewith.
	8	
	9	FIFTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE
	10	(Arbitrary Mark)
	11	The Opposition is barred because Applicant's mark is arbitrary with respect to the services,
	12	resulting in a strong and enforceable mark.
	13	
	14	SIXTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE
	15	(Non-Generic)
EN ATION	16	The Opposition is barred because Applicant's mark is not generic with respect to the services,
	17	resulting in a strong and enforceable mark.
	18	
	19	SEVENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE
	20	(Release, Waiver, and Estoppel)
	21	The Opposition is barred by the doctrines of release, waiver, and estoppel for which a
	22	reasonable opportunity for investigation or discovery is likely to provide evidentiary support.
CALL & JENSEN A PROFESSIONAL CORPORATION	23	
& J	24	EIGHTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE
ALL PFESSIC	25	(Good Faith)
C/A PRO	26	Any and all acts alleged to have been committed by Applicant were performed in good faith.
	27	
	28	NINTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

1	(Restriction)
2	Applicant reserves its right to restrict its application and/or registration.
3	TENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE
4	(Improper Purpose)
5	On information and belief, Opposer's claims, in whole or in part, have been filed for an
6	improper purpose and lack a reasonable and good faith basis in fact, specifically to gain leverage in a
7	pending lawsuit between the parties in which Opposer is the defendant, and the known junior user of
8	Opposer's mark. Opposer is guilty of inequitable conduct and comes to the Board with unclean hands.
9	reserves the right to allege additional affirmative defenses as they may become known, or as they
10	evolve during the litigation, and to amend this Answer accordingly.
11	
12	ELEVENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE
13	(Reservation of Rights)
14	Applicant reserves the right to allege additional affirmative defenses as they may become
15	known, or as they evolve during the litigation, and to amend this Answer accordingly.
16	
17	WHEREFORE, Applicant prays that this Opposition proceeding be dismissed and that
18	Applicant's application be allowed.
19	
20	
21	Respectfully submitted,
22	Dated: July 7, 2014 By: /Deborah A. Gubernick/ Deborah A. Gubernick
23	Attorneys for Active Sports Lifestyle USA, LLC
24	CALL & JENSEN A Professional Corporation
25	610 Newport Center Drive, Suite 700 Newport Beach, CA 92660
26	(949) 717-3000 dgubernick@calljensen.com
27	sshaw@calljensen.com
28	

CALL & JENSEN
A PROFESSIONAL CORPORATION

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that a true and complete copy of the foregoing ANSWER TO NOTICE OF OPPOSITION for Opposition No. 91216571 is being served on the Opposer via email and next day mail to:

Edward Patrick Swan, Jr. Jones Day 12265 El Camino Real, Suite 200 San Diego, CA 92130 pswan@jonesday.com

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the United States of America that the foregoing is true and correct, and that this Certificate is executed on July 7, 2014.

> /Tara Morgan/_ Tara Morgan

A PROFESSIONAL CORPORATION